

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) Criminal No. 07CR3271-WQH  
11 )  
12 Plaintiff, ) **FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION OF**  
13 v. ) **THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE UPON A**  
14 ) **PLEA OF GUILTY**  
15 )  
16 **TRACY ANN NELSON (1),** )  
17 )  
18 Defendant. )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

16 Upon Defendant's request to enter a plea of Guilty pursuant  
17 to Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, this  
18 matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge by the District  
19 Judge, with the written consents of the Defendant, counsel for  
20 the Defendant, and counsel for the United States.

21 Thereafter, the matter came on for a hearing on Defendant's  
22 plea of guilty, in full compliance with Rule 11, Federal Rules of  
23 Criminal Procedure, before the Magistrate Judge, in open court  
24 and on the record.

25 In consideration of that hearing and the allocution made by  
26 the Defendant under oath on the record and in the presence of  
27 counsel, and the remarks of the Assistant United States Attorney,  
28

///  
28

1       **I make the following FINDINGS - that the Defendant**  
2 **understands:**

- 3       1. the right to persist in a plea of "not guilty";
- 4       2. the right to a speedy and public trial;
- 5       3. the right to be tried by a jury, or the ability to
- 6       waive that right and have a judge try the case without
- 7       a jury;
- 8       4. the right to the assistance of counsel at trial;
- 9       5. that, at trial, there would be the right to confront
- 10       and cross-examine the witnesses against the Defendant;
- 11       6. that, at trial, there is the right to present a
- 12       defense, and the right to have witnesses subpoenaed to
- 13       testify on the Defendant's behalf;
- 14       7. that, at trial, the Defendant would have the right
- 15       against compelled self-incrimination;
- 16       8. the nature of the charge filed in this case;
- 17       9. the maximum possible sentence that could be imposed
- 18       (including imprisonment, fine, term of supervised
- 19       release, and mandatory special assessment), the effect
- 20       of a supervised release term, and that the sentencing
- 21       guidelines are only advisory so that the Court may
- 22       sentence Defendant up to the statutory maximum;
- 23       10. the terms of the plea agreement;

24 **I further find that:**

- 25       11. that Defendant's plea of guilty is made knowingly and
- 26       voluntarily;
- 27       12. the Defendant is competent to enter a plea; and
- 28       13. there is a factual basis for Defendant's plea.

1 I therefore RECOMMEND that the District Judge accept the  
2 Defendant's plea of guilty.

3 The sentencing hearing will be before United States District  
4 Judge William Q. Hayes, on March 24, 2008, at 9:00am.

5 Objections to these Findings and Recommendation must be  
6 filed within 14 days of the date of this order.

7  
8  
9 Dated: January 3, 2008

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Leo S. Papas  
United States Magistrate Judge

10  
11 Copies to:

12 Hon. William Q. Hayes  
13 U.S. District Judge

14 Stephen Miller  
15 United States Attorney

16 Elizabeth Barros  
17 Counsel for Defendant  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28